

Discussion of “Difficult to Digest: Takeovers of Distressed Banks” (Phung & Troege)

Guillaume Vuillemey

HEC Paris & CEPR

Financial Risks International Forum

Paris

March 2019

This paper

- **Government-induced takeovers often used in case of distress**
 - As an alternative to bailouts
 - Typical case: FDIC-led mergers in US

- **What consequences do these mergers have?**
 - → Understudied and important question (resolution, etc.)
 - Profitability and liquidity drop for acquirers
 - Critical view about these mergers

Main concern

- **Can there be a mechanical effect?**
 - Bank F is failing: $A = 1000$, $NI = -10$, $ROA = -1\%$
 - Bank B acquires: $A = 2000$, $NI = 20$, $ROA = 1\%$
 - B+F: $A = 3000$, $NI = 10$, $ROA = 0.33\%$
 - → Measures of profitability drop

- Non-mechanical effects → **Refine the question**
 - What is the proper counterfactual?

Main concern

- **Current result consistent with value-enhancing merger**
 - Valuing a merger is ultimately valuing synergies
 - Is $V(B+F) > V(B) + V(F)$?
 - Paper seems to conclude “no”, but does not show it
 - Positive synergies consistent with current results

- **Potential solution:** Use market data on stock prices
 - Total bank value (equity + debt) is what is relevant
 - Concern: Maybe not enough stock prices in Vietnam?
 - Why use Vietnam in the first place? No specific data/reason

Main concern

■ Other counterfactual: **Bailout**

- Perhaps mergers indeed are inefficient for private banks
- ... but can still be socially optimal
- Provided we want to avoid bank failures in first place

■ **Solutions? Difficult question**

- Exploit more differences between government-led and private mergers
- Anything special about policy improvement?
- (Of course whether the governments comes in is not random)

Conclusion

- **Interesting (understudied) question**
- **One main concern: How to interpret the effect?**